Interview Summary

Application No. **09/265,363**

Applicant

Aral et al.

Examiner

Raymond N. Phan

Group Art Unit 2781



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Raymond N. Phan - Examiner (3) <u>Mr. Masaichi Aoyagi - Hitachi Rep</u>
(2) Mr. Melvin Kraus (Reg # 22,466)	4)
Date of Interview Jun 16, 2000	
Type: XTelephonic Personal (copy is given to applican	nt applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes 186. If yes	es, brief description:
Agreement Xwas reached. Was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: 1-26	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Sawdon and Hibino et al.	
overcomed by the application priority claim date. Rejection will be	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
1. 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including each of the objections, rejections and requirements that m claims are now allowable, this completed form is considere Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a se is also checked.	ay be present in the last Office action, and since the ed to fulfill the response requirements of the last eparate record of the interview unless box 1 above
	Raymondyle
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.	